

ON A FAMILY OF STRONGLY REGULAR GRAPHS WITH $\lambda = 1$

ANDRIY V. BONDARENKO AND DANYLO V. RADCHENKO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give a complete description of strongly regular graphs with parameters $((n^2 + 3n - 1)^2, n^2(n + 3), 1, n(n + 1))$. All possible such graphs are: the lattice graph $L_{3,3}$ with parameters $(9, 4, 1, 2)$, the Brouwer-Haemers graph with parameters $(81, 20, 1, 6)$, and the Games graph with parameters $(729, 112, 1, 20)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

A strongly regular graph Γ with parameters (v, k, λ, μ) is an undirected regular graph on v vertices of valency k such that each pair of adjacent vertices has λ common neighbors, and each pair of nonadjacent vertices has μ common neighbors. The incidence matrix A of Γ has the following properties:

$$AJ = kJ,$$

and

$$A^2 + (\mu - \lambda)A + (\mu - k)I = \mu J,$$

where I is the identity matrix and J is the matrix with all entries equal to 1. These conditions imply that

$$(1) \quad (v - k - 1)\mu = k(k - \lambda - 1).$$

Moreover, the matrix A has only 3 eigenvalues: k of multiplicity 1, one positive eigenvalue

$$r = \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda - \mu + \sqrt{(\lambda - \mu)^2 + 4(k - \mu)} \right)$$

of multiplicity

$$f = \frac{1}{2} \left(v - 1 - \frac{2k + (v - 1)(\lambda - \mu)}{\sqrt{(\lambda - \mu)^2 + 4(k - \mu)}} \right),$$

and one negative eigenvalue

$$s = \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda - \mu - \sqrt{(\lambda - \mu)^2 + 4(k - \mu)} \right)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C25, 05C50, 52C99, 41A55, 11D61.

Key words and phrases. strongly regular graph, automorphism group, Brouwer-Haemers graph, Games graph.

The first author was supported in part by the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Spain, grant MTM 2011-2763.

of multiplicity

$$(2) \quad g = \frac{1}{2} \left(v - 1 + \frac{2k + (v - 1)(\lambda - \mu)}{\sqrt{(\lambda - \mu)^2 + 4(k - \mu)}} \right).$$

Clearly, both f and g are integers. This together with (1) gives a family of suitable parameters (v, k, λ, μ) for strongly regular graphs. The list of all suitable parameters and known existence results for $v \leq 1300$ could be found in [1] (except for the trivial case when Γ is a disjoint union of complete graphs mK_n or its complement). Strongly regular graphs often appear in different areas of group theory, geometry, and set theory. Many of strongly regular graphs have a large automorphism group, which is the main tool to construct them. For example, some strongly regular graphs could be naturally obtained from a rank 3 permutation group, see [2]. On the other hand, there are strongly regular graphs having trivial automorphism groups. The smallest such graph has parameters $(25, 12, 5, 6)$, see [3].

Arguably, the most widely known strongly regular graphs are Moore graphs, with $\lambda = 0$ and $\mu = 1$. The list of all suitable parameters for such graphs are: $(5, 2, 0, 1)$, $(10, 3, 0, 1)$, $(50, 7, 0, 1)$, and $(3250, 57, 0, 1)$. In the first 3 cases, the graph with mentioned parameters is unique and has an edge transitive automorphism group. That is: the cycle graph C_5 , the Petersen graph, and the Hoffman-Singleton graph. The question whether exists a strongly regular graph in the last case is a well-known open problem posed by Hoffman and Singleton [4]. However, Higman proved that the automorphism group of such a graph could not be even vertex transitive, see, for example, [5]. Later, Higman's approach was widely generalized and applied for other graphs, see, e.g., [6] and [7]. The typical result is that, if for a given parameter set (v, k, λ, μ) a strongly regular graph exists, then it has a small automorphism group. Full description of parameters for which a strongly regular graph exists is not likely to be ever done.

In this paper we will investigate strongly regular graphs with $\lambda = 1$ and negative eigenspaces of dimension $g = k$. One can deduce directly from (1) and (2) that such a graph is either K_3 or belongs to the family

$$((n^2 + 3n - 1)^2, n^2(n + 3), 1, n(n + 1)),$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the positive eigenvalue.

This family includes the lattice graph $L_{3,3}$ with parameters $(9, 4, 1, 2)$, the Brouwer-Haemers graph with parameters $(81, 20, 1, 6)$, which is also known to be unique [8], and the Games graph with parameters $(729, 112, 1, 20)$, for which the uniqueness question was open. We will show that these are the only graphs in the family.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that there exists a strongly regular graph with parameters $((n^2 + 3n - 1)^2, n^2(n + 3), 1, n(n + 1))$. Then $n \in \{1, 2, 4\}$.*

The proof consists of two parts. First, in Section 3 we will show that each graph in the family exhibits certain symmetries (in particular, its group of automorphisms is vertex-transitive). Then, in Section 4 we will use different properties of these symmetries to prove that the set of vertices can be given a vector space structure over the finite field F_3 . In particular, the number of vertices in the graph is a power of 3.

Finally, the resulting diophantine equation has the only three mentioned solutions by virtue of [9, Theorem B]. This equation has appeared during the studying of ternary linear codes with exactly two nonzero weights and with the minimal weight of the dual code at least 4. It was actually shown in [10] that each of such codes of dimension $2m$ implies a strongly regular graph from the family with $v = 3^{2m}$ vertices.

The following result completes description of the family.

Theorem 2. *The strongly regular graph with parameters $(729, 112, 1, 20)$ is unique up to isomorphism.*

We will prove Theorems 1 and 2 in Section 5.

In the next section we will explain the Euclidean representation of strongly regular graphs, which is the main tool to prove that each graph in the family has a vertex transitive automorphism group.

2. EUCLIDEAN REPRESENTATION

Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a strongly regular graph with negative eigenspace of dimension g . Then there exists a set of vectors $\{x_i : i \in V\} \subset \mathbb{R}^g$ satisfying the following two conditions. First,

$$\langle x_i, x_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j, \\ p, & \text{if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are adjacent,} \\ q, & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

where $p, q \in (-1, 1)$. Second condition is that the set $\{x_i : i \in V\}$ forms a spherical 2-design, that is

$$\sum_{i \in V} x_i = 0,$$

and

$$\sum_{i, j \in V} \langle x_i, x_j \rangle^2 = \frac{|V|^2}{g}.$$

The values of p and q are uniquely determined by these conditions. For more information on relations between the Euclidean representation of strongly regular graphs and spherical designs see [11]. To construct such vectors consider columns

$\{y_i : i \in V\}$ of the matrix $A - fI$ and put $x_i := z_i / \|z_i\|$, where

$$z_i = y_i - \frac{1}{|V|} \sum_{j \in V} y_j, \quad i \in V.$$

It is easy to check that these vectors satisfy the above mentioned conditions. The main tool we will use for description of strongly regular graphs is the fact that each subset $\{x_i : i \in U\}$, where $U \subset V$, has a positive definite Gram matrix $\{(x_i, x_j)\}_{i,j \in U}$ of rank at most g . Similarly, we could get the Euclidean representation of Γ in \mathbb{R}^f . However, we will never use it in this paper. The reason is that f is much larger than g for graphs from the considered family. Thus, the Euclidean representation in \mathbb{R}^g contains much more information.

3. NONTRIVIAL AUTOMORPHISMS

Fix $n \geq 2$. Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a strongly regular graph with parameters $((n^2 + 3n - 1)^2, n^2(n + 3), 1, n(n + 1))$. In this section, we will also fix some vertex v_∞ of Γ . For any vertex v , let $N(v)$ be the set of all neighbors of v , and let $N'(v)$ be the set of non-neighbors of v , i.e. $N'(v) = V \setminus (\{v\} \cup N(v))$. The subgraph induced on the set $N(v_\infty)$ is isomorphic to mK_2 . Define the permutation σ on the set $\{v_\infty\} \cup N(v_\infty)$ by switching all pairs of adjacent vertices in $N(v_\infty)$ and leaving v_∞ fixed. Our goal in this section is to prove the following

Proposition 1. *The permutation σ can be extended uniquely to an automorphism of Γ .*

To prove Proposition 1 we need the next simple lemma.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that m_0, m_1, \dots, m_{n-2} are nonnegative integers such that*

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-i+1}{2} m_i \leq \binom{n+1}{2}.$$

Then the following inequality

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-i}{2} m_i \leq \binom{n}{2},$$

holds. The equality attains if and only if $m_0 = 1$, and $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_{n-2} = 0$.

Proof. Since, for each $k < n$,

$$\binom{k}{2} < \binom{k+1}{2} \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{\binom{n+1}{2}},$$

we obtain the statement of lemma by multiplying (3) by $\binom{n}{2} / \binom{n+1}{2}$. \square

Proof of Proposition 1. For the Euclidean representation of the graph Γ in \mathbb{R}^g we have $p = -\frac{n^2+2n-1}{n^2(n+3)}$, and $q = \frac{1}{n(n+3)}$. Now computing the determinant of the Gram matrix we get that vectors $\{x_i : i \in N(v_\infty)\}$ are linearly independent. Since $|N(v_\infty)| = n^2(n+3) = g$, this then implies that the set $\{x_i : i \in N(v_\infty)\}$ forms a basis in \mathbb{R}^g .

For any vertex $u \in N'(v_\infty)$, we have $|N(u) \cap N(v_\infty)| = \mu = n(n+1)$. Denote by $A(u)$ the set $N(u) \cap N(v_\infty)$, and by $B(u)$ the set of neighbors of $A(u)$ that are in $N(v_\infty)$ (so that $|B(u)| = |A(u)| = n(n+1)$). Then, for $\alpha = \frac{n}{n^2-1}$, $\beta = \frac{1}{n^2-1}$ and $\gamma = \frac{n}{n-1}$, we have

$$(4) \quad x = x_u + \alpha \sum_{i \in A(u)} x_i + \beta \sum_{i \in B(u)} x_i + \gamma x_{v_\infty} = 0.$$

Indeed, it is easy to check that $\langle x, x_i \rangle = 0$ for $i \in N(v_\infty)$, and since the set $\{x_i : i \in N(v_\infty)\}$ forms a basis then $x = 0$. Applying equation (4) for each pair $u, w \in N'(v_\infty)$ we obtain

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} \langle x_u, x_w \rangle = p & \iff n|A(u) \cap A(w)| + |A(u) \cap B(w)| = n+1, \\ \langle x_u, x_w \rangle = q & \iff n|A(u) \cap A(w)| + |A(u) \cap B(w)| = n(n+1). \end{cases}$$

Observe that for a pair of nonnegative integers (k, l) the equation $nk + l = n+1$ has only two solutions: $(1, 1)$ and $(0, n+1)$. The equation $nk + l = n(n+1)$ has $n+1$ solutions: $(n+1, 0), (n, n), (n-1, 2n), \dots, (0, n(n+1))$. We see that $|A(u) \cap A(w)| \leq n+1$, so in any case $A(u) \neq A(w)$. Thus, if we extend σ to an automorphism of Γ , then $A(\sigma(u)) = B(u)$, and therefore $\sigma(u)$ is defined uniquely.

Now, we fix $u \in N'(v_\infty)$. Clearly, there are exactly $n(n+1)$ vertices in $N'(v_\infty) \cap N(u)$ with $|A(u) \cap A(w)| = 1$, and exactly $n^2(n+3) - 2n(n+1)$ such vertices with $|A(u) \cap A(w)| = 0$. Let m_i , $i = 0, 1, \dots, n+1$, be the number of vertices $w \in N'(v_\infty) \cap N'(u)$ with $|A(u) \cap A(w)| = i$. The numbers m_i must satisfy three equations

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} m_i = |N'(v_\infty) \cap N'(u)| = n^4 + 4n^3 + 2n^2 - 5n - 1, \\ \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} i m_i = |A(u)|(n^2(n+3) - 4) = n(n^2 - 1)(n+2)^2, \\ \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \binom{i}{2} m_i = n(n^2 - 1)(n+2)(n^2 + n - 1)/2. \end{cases}$$

Here, the second equation is obtained by counting edges between $A(u)$ and $N'(v_\infty) \cap N'(u)$. We get the third equation by counting triples (v_1, v_2, v_3) , where v_1 and v_2 are different vertices in $A(u)$, and $v_3 \in N'(v_\infty) \cap N'(u)$ is adjacent to both v_1 and v_2 .

Now, solving (6) in m_{n-1}, m_n and m_{n+1} we obtain

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} m_{n-1} = \binom{n+1}{2} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-i+1}{2} m_i, \\ m_{n+1} = n^2(n+3) - 2n(n+1) + \binom{n}{2} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-i}{2} m_i. \end{cases}$$

The crucial part of the proof is the following inequality

$$(8) \quad m_{n+1} \leq n^2(n+3) - 2n(n+1)$$

to be proven later. Assuming (8) it is easy to conclude the proof of Proposition 1. Indeed, combining (7) and (8) with the statement of Lemma 1 we immediately obtain that $m_0 = 1$, and $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_{n-1} = 0$. Therefore, for each $u \in N'(v_\infty)$ there exists a unique vertex $w_u \in N'(v_\infty)$ such that $A(u) = B(w_u)$. Define σ by $\sigma(u) = w_u$, $u \in N'(v_\infty)$. Then σ is a bijection, because $\sigma(\sigma(u)) = u$. Finally, since for each pair $u, w \in N'(v_\infty)$

$$|A(u) \cap A(w)| = |B(u) \cap B(w)| = |A(\sigma(u)) \cap A(\sigma(w))|,$$

and

$$|A(u) \cap B(w)| = |B(u) \cap A(w)| = |A(\sigma(u)) \cap B(\sigma(w))|,$$

we see that σ is an automorphism by virtue of (5), proving Proposition 1.

Now, we are ready to prove (8).

Denote by $C(u)$ the set $\{w \in N'(v_\infty) \cap N(u) : |A(u) \cap A(w)| = 1\}$, and by $D(u)$ the set $\{w \in N'(v_\infty) \cap N'(u) : |A(u) \cap A(w)| = n+1\}$. We have $|C(u)| = |B(u)| = n(n+1)$, and also $|D(u)| = m_{n+1}$. Thus, to prove (8) it is sufficient to show that vectors $\{x_i : i \in B(u) \cup C(u) \cup D(u)\}$ are linearly independent (recall that $g = n^2(n+3)$).

First, note that there are no edges between $B(u) \cup C(u)$ and $D(u)$, between v_∞ and $C(u)$, and between u and $B(u)$. Then, v_∞ is connected to each vertex in $B(u)$, and u is connected to each vertex in $C(u)$. Also, in the subgraph induced on $B(u) \cup C(u)$ every vertex has a degree 1. Suppose that there is a nontrivial linear relation

$$(9) \quad \sum_{i \in B(u)} \beta_i x_i + \sum_{i \in C(u)} \gamma_i x_i + \sum_{i \in D(u)} \delta_i x_i = 0.$$

For $i \in B(u)$, denote by $\phi(i) \in V$ its unique neighbor in $C(u)$. Put $S_B = \sum_{i \in B(u)} \beta_i$, $S_C = \sum_{i \in C(u)} \gamma_i$, and $S_D = \sum_{i \in D(u)} \delta_i$. Now, taking inner products of both sides in (9) with x_{v_∞} and with x_u , we get

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} pS_B + qS_C + qS_D = 0, \\ qS_B + pS_C + qS_D = 0. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, taking inner products of both sides in (9) with x_i and with $x_{\phi(i)}$ for each $i \in B(u)$, we obtain

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} (1-q)\beta_i + (p-q)\gamma_{\phi(i)} + qS_B + qS_C + qS_D = 0, \\ (p-q)\beta_i + (1-q)\gamma_{\phi(i)} + qS_B + qS_C + qS_D = 0. \end{cases}$$

Subtracting from the first equation in (10) the second we get that $S_B = S_C$. Similarly, (11) yields $\beta_i = \gamma_{\phi(i)}$, $i \in B(u)$. Now, summing up the first equation of (11) over all $i \in B(u)$ we obtain

$$(1 + p + 2(n^2 + n - 1)q)S_B + n(n + 1)qS_D = 0.$$

Combining this equation with (10) we get $S_B = S_C = S_D = 0$. Thus, (11) together with inequality $1 + p - 2q > 0$, $n \geq 2$, implies that $\beta_i = \gamma_{\phi(i)} = 0$ for all $i \in B(u)$. Therefore, we are left with the relation

$$\sum_{i \in D(u)} \delta_i x_i = 0,$$

where $\sum_{i \in D(u)} \delta_i = 0$. Let $\delta_i^+ = \max(\delta_i, 0)$, and $\delta_i^- = -\min(\delta_i, 0)$. Then we have

$$\sum_{i \in D(u)} \delta_i^+ x_i = \sum_{i \in D(u)} \delta_i^- x_i.$$

Normalizing δ_i in such a way that $\sum_{i \in D(u)} \delta_i^+ = \sum_{i \in D(u)} \delta_i^- = 1$ we obtain the following bound

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i \in D(u)} \delta_i^+ x_i \right\|^2 &= \left\langle \sum_{i \in D(u)} \delta_i^+ x_i, \sum_{i \in D(u)} \delta_i^- x_i \right\rangle = \sum_{i, j \in D(u)} \delta_i^+ \delta_j^- \langle x_i, x_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i, j \in D(u), i \neq j} \delta_i^+ \delta_j^- \langle x_i, x_j \rangle \leq q \sum_{i, j \in D(u), i \neq j} \delta_i^+ \delta_j^- = q, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\delta_i^+ \delta_i^- = 0$ for $i \in D(u)$, and $\langle x_i, x_j \rangle \leq q$ for $i \neq j$. We will now use (12) to show that the quadratic form of three variables

$$Q(a, b, c) = \left\| a(x_u + x_{v_\infty}) + b \sum_{i \in B(u) \cup C(u)} x_i + c \sum_{i \in D(u)} \delta_i^+ x_i \right\|^2$$

attains a negative value for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, thus contradicting our assumption (9). Let (a_{ij}) be the symmetric 3×3 matrix associated to the quadratic form Q . Its entries are:

$$a_{11} = \|x_u + x_{v_\infty}\|^2 = 2 + 2q,$$

$$a_{12} = (|B(u)|p + |C(u)|q) + (|B(u)|q + |C(u)|p) = 2n(n + 1)(p + q),$$

$$a_{13} = 2q \sum_{i \in D(u)} \delta_i^+ = 2q,$$

$$a_{22} = |B(u) \cup C(u)|(1 + p + 2(n^2 + n - 1)q) = 2n(n + 1)(1 + p + 2(n^2 + n - 1)q),$$

$$a_{23} = |B(u) \cup C(u)| \sum_{i \in D(u)} \delta_i^+ q = 2n(n + 1)q,$$

$$a_{33} = \left\| \sum_{i \in D(u)} \delta_i^+ x_i \right\|^2 \leq q.$$

We may assume that $a_{33} = q$, since $Q(a, b, c)$ can only increase. The determinant of the resulting matrix is

$$\det(a_{ij}) = -\frac{8(n + 1)(n^4 + 6n^3 + 7n^2 - 6n + 1)}{n^3(n + 3)^3},$$

which is negative for $n \geq 1$. Thus, Q attains a negative value. Therefore vectors $\{x_i : i \in B(u) \cup C(u) \cup D(u)\}$ are linearly independent, proving (8) and hence Proposition 1. \square

For each $v \in V$, denote by σ_v the automorphism constructed for $v_\infty = v$. It follows from the definition that each σ_v is an involution. Moreover, for each three vertices u, v , and w forming a triangle, $\sigma_u(v) = w$. Since Γ is a connected graph this immediately implies that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is vertex transitive.

4. STRUCTURE OF AUTOMORPHISMS

We now proceed to study the global structure of strongly regular graphs with parameters

$$((n^2 + 3n - 1)^2, n^2(n + 3), 1, n(n + 1))$$

by using the automorphisms we have constructed in Proposition 1. In this section, we will prove the following

Proposition 2. *The set of vertices V can be given a vector space structure over F_3 such that $\sigma_v(u) = -(u + v)$ for all $u, v \in V$, in particular, $|V| = 3^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

First, we establish some properties of σ_v .

Lemma 2. *Involutions $\{\sigma_u : u \in V\}$ satisfy the following:*

- (i) $\sigma_u(v) = \sigma_v(u)$ for all $u, v \in V$;
- (ii) if g is any automorphism of Γ , then $g\sigma_u = \sigma_{g(u)}g$;
- (iii) $\sigma_u\sigma_v\sigma_u = \sigma_{\sigma_u(v)}$ for all $u, v \in V$;
- (iv) the automorphism $\sigma_u\sigma_v$ has no fixed points, and $(\sigma_u\sigma_v)^3 = e$ for all $u \neq v \in V$;
- (v) if u and v are adjacent, then for all $x \in V$ the vertices x and $\sigma_u\sigma_v(x)$ are also adjacent.

Proof. If u and v are adjacent, then they have both vertices $\sigma_u(v)$ and $\sigma_v(u)$ as common neighbors. Thus the condition $\lambda = 1$ implies that $\sigma_u(v) = \sigma_v(u)$. If u and v are nonadjacent, then $\sigma_u(v)$ is a unique vertex w , such that w is

nonadjacent to both u and v , and $N(u) \cap N(v) \cap N(w) = \emptyset$. Hence, in this case we also have $\sigma_u(v) = \sigma_v(u)$. This proves (i).

From (i) we see that triples $\{u, v, w\}$ with $w = \sigma_u(v)$ are defined symmetrically in u, v, w , that is the following identities

$$w = \sigma_u(v) = \sigma_v(u), \quad v = \sigma_u(w) = \sigma_w(u), \quad u = \sigma_w(v) = \sigma_v(w)$$

hold. Therefore, g must preserve the set of such triples. This means that $\sigma_{g(u)}(g(v)) = g(w) = g(\sigma_u(v))$, which proves (ii).

Part (iii) follows from (ii) by letting $g = \sigma_v$.

From (iii) we have $\sigma_u \sigma_v \sigma_u = \sigma_{\sigma_u(v)} = \sigma_{\sigma_v(u)} = \sigma_v \sigma_u \sigma_v$, hence $(\sigma_u \sigma_v)^3 = e$. If $\sigma_u(\sigma_v(x)) = x$, then $\sigma_u(x) = \sigma_v(x)$, and therefore $\sigma_x(u) = \sigma_x(v)$, which contradicts $u \neq v$. This proves (iv).

Finally, since σ_x is a graph automorphism for each $x \in V$, then for an adjacent pair of vertices u and v the vertices $\sigma_x(u)$ and $\sigma_x(v)$ are also adjacent. Similarly, the vertices $\sigma_u(\sigma_x(u)) = x$ and $\sigma_u(\sigma_x(v)) = \sigma_u \sigma_v(x)$ are adjacent as well. This proves (v). \square

In the sequel, we will use the notation $u \circ v$ for $\sigma_u(v)$. It is convenient, since for any automorphism g we have $g(u \circ v) = g(u) \circ g(v)$. The crucial part in the proof of Proposition 2 is the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *For all $u, v, w \in V$, we have $(\sigma_v \sigma_w \sigma_u)^2 = e$.*

Proof. We may assume that u, v, w are different vertices, as other cases are covered by Lemma 2.

Denote $g_1 = \sigma_u \sigma_v$, $g_2 = \sigma_{u \circ w} \sigma_{w \circ (u \circ v)}$, and $g_3 = \sigma_w \sigma_{u \circ (v \circ w)}$. Since $u \neq v$ then $u \circ w \neq w \circ (u \circ v)$, and $w \neq u \circ (v \circ w)$. Hence, by Lemma 2 (iv), the automorphisms g_1 , g_2 , and g_3 are of order 3, and have no fixed points. Moreover, we claim that $g_1 g_2 g_3 = e$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \sigma_u \sigma_v, \\ g_2 &= \sigma_{u \circ w} \sigma_{w \circ (u \circ v)} = \sigma_w (\sigma_u \sigma_w \sigma_w \sigma_u) \sigma_v \sigma_u \sigma_w = \sigma_w \sigma_v \sigma_u \sigma_w, \\ g_3 &= \sigma_w \sigma_{u \circ (v \circ w)} = \sigma_w \sigma_u \sigma_w \sigma_v \sigma_w \sigma_u, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\sigma_{x \circ y} = \sigma_{\sigma_x(y)} = \sigma_x \sigma_y \sigma_x$ repeatedly. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 g_2 g_3 &= \sigma_u \sigma_v \sigma_w \sigma_v (\sigma_u \sigma_w \sigma_w \sigma_u) \sigma_w \sigma_v \sigma_w \sigma_u = \\ &= \sigma_u \sigma_v \sigma_w \sigma_v \sigma_w \sigma_v \sigma_w \sigma_u = \sigma_u (\sigma_v \sigma_w)^3 \sigma_u = \sigma_u^2 = e. \end{aligned}$$

Let us first suppose that u and v are adjacent. Then, by Lemma 2 (v), the vertices $u \circ w$ and $w \circ (u \circ v)$ are also adjacent. Similarly, w and $u \circ (v \circ w)$ are adjacent. Using again Lemma 2 (v), we see that $\{x, g_i(x), g_i^{-1}(x)\}$ is a triangle for any $x \in V$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. From $g_1 g_2 g_3 = e$ we find that $g_3(x) = g_2^{-1}(g_1^{-1}(x))$, therefore $g_1^{-1}(x)$ and $g_3(x)$ are adjacent. Hence x and $g_1^{-1}(x)$ are also adjacent,

and have two common neighbors $g_1(x)$ and $g_3(x)$. Since $\lambda = 1$ then $g_1(x) = g_3(x)$. The choice of x was arbitrary, therefore $g_1 = g_3$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 = g_3 &\iff \sigma_u \sigma_v = \sigma_w \sigma_u \sigma_w \sigma_v \sigma_w \sigma_u \iff \\ \sigma_v (\sigma_u \sigma_w)^2 \sigma_v \sigma_w \sigma_u &= e \iff (\sigma_v \sigma_w \sigma_u)^2 = e, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.

Now, suppose that u and v are nonadjacent. Then there exists $t \in V$ adjacent to both u and v . By the previous case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_t \sigma_w \sigma_u)^2 &= e, \\ (\sigma_v \sigma_w \sigma_t)^2 &= e, \\ \sigma_t \sigma_v \sigma_u \sigma_t &= \sigma_u \sigma_v, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equation is another form of $(\sigma_t \sigma_v \sigma_u)^2 = e$. Multiplying the first two equations together, we get

$$\begin{aligned} e &= (\sigma_v \sigma_w \sigma_t)^2 (\sigma_t \sigma_w \sigma_u)^2 = \\ \sigma_v \sigma_w \sigma_t \sigma_v (\sigma_w \sigma_t \sigma_t \sigma_w) \sigma_u \sigma_t \sigma_w \sigma_u &= \\ \sigma_v \sigma_w (\sigma_t \sigma_v \sigma_u \sigma_t) \sigma_w \sigma_u &= \\ \sigma_v \sigma_w \sigma_u \sigma_v \sigma_w \sigma_u &= (\sigma_v \sigma_w \sigma_u)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3 is proved. \square

Proof of Proposition 2. Now we can introduce a vector space structure on V . Take some vertex $v_0 \in V$ and define it to be the zero vector. Denote the multiplication of a vector $v \in V$ by scalars from F_3 as follows

$$1v = v, \quad 0v = v_0, \quad \text{and} \quad (-1)v = \sigma_{v_0}(v).$$

Finally, for each $u, v \in V$ define vector addition by

$$u + v = \sigma_{v_0}(u \circ v).$$

We only need to check that these operations indeed define a vector space over F_3 . First, let us show the associativity of the vector addition. For arbitrary $u, v, w \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u + (v + w) &= (u + v) + w \iff \sigma_{v_0}(u \circ (v + w)) = \sigma_{v_0}((u + v) \circ w) \iff \\ u \circ (v + w) &= (u + v) \circ w \iff \sigma_u \sigma_{v_0}(v \circ w) = \sigma_w \sigma_{v_0}(v \circ u) \iff \\ \sigma_u \sigma_{v_0} \sigma_w(v) &= \sigma_w \sigma_{v_0} \sigma_u(v) \iff (\sigma_u \sigma_{v_0} \sigma_w)^2(v) = v, \end{aligned}$$

which is true by Lemma 3. The rest of axioms are easily deduced from Lemma 2. Namely, for each $u, v \in V$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} u + v &= \sigma_{v_0}(u \circ v) = \sigma_{v_0}(v \circ u) = v + u, \\ v + v_0 &= \sigma_{v_0} \sigma_{v_0}(v) = v, \quad (-1)((-1)v) = \sigma_{v_0} \sigma_{v_0}(v) = v, \\ v + v &= \sigma_{v_0} \sigma_v(v) = \sigma_{v_0} v = (-1)v, \quad v + (-1)v = \sigma_{v_0} \sigma_v \sigma_{v_0}(v) = \sigma_v \sigma_{v_0} \sigma_v(v) = v_0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$-(u + v) = \sigma_{v_0}\sigma_{v_0}(u \circ v) = \sigma_u(v) = \sigma_{v_0}(u) + \sigma_{v_0}(v) = (-1)u + (-1)v.$$

Proposition 2 is proved. \square

As a corollary, we see that each strongly regular graph in the family must have 3^m vertices, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For example, there is no strongly regular graph with parameters $(289, 54, 1, 12)$.

5. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. By Propositions 1 and 2 it remains to show that the diophantine equation $n^2 + 3n - 1 = 3^m$ has only the following integer solutions (n, m) with $n > 0$:

$$(1, 1), \quad (2, 2), \quad (4, 3).$$

Substituting $u = 2n + 3$ we obtain an equivalent equation

$$u^2 - 13 = 4 \cdot 3^m.$$

By [9, Theorem B], this equation can hold only for $m = 1, 2$ or 3 . \square

With some more work we can prove that there exists a unique strongly regular graph with parameters $(729, 112, 1, 20)$, namely the Games graph.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a strongly regular graph with parameters $(729, 112, 1, 20)$. By Proposition 2 we may assume that $V = F_3^6$, and for any $x \in V$ the map $\sigma_x : t \rightarrow -(t + x)$ is a graph automorphism. Since $\sigma_0\sigma_x(t) = t + x$, we have that all shifts $t \rightarrow x + t$ are also automorphisms. Therefore x and y are adjacent if and only if 0 and $x - y$ are adjacent. The last implies that 0 and x are adjacent if and only if 0 and $-x$ are adjacent. Hence, we can form a set $H \subset PG(5, F_3)$ by saying $[x] \in H$ if and only if 0 and x are adjacent ($[x]$ is the equivalence class of $x \in F_3^6 \setminus \{0\}$ in $PG(5, F_3)$). The set H consists of 56 points. Now, we claim that H is a cap, i.e., any line in $PG(5, F_3)$ meets H in at most two points. Indeed, it is sufficient to consider three collinear points $[x], [y], [x+y] \in H$. Then 0 is connected to x, y , and $x + y$. Similarly, $x + y$ is connected to x, y , and 0 . This contradicts the fact that $\lambda = 1$, as 0 and $x + y$ are connected and have x and y as common neighbors. This proves that H is a cap. It was shown by Hill [12] that there exists a unique cap with 56 points in $PG(5, F_3)$, and therefore H is isomorphic to it. Hence Γ is isomorphic to the Games graph, as can be seen from the construction given in [13, p.114-115]. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. E. Brouwer, *Parameters of strongly regular graphs*, tables published electronically at <http://www.win.tue.nl/~aeb/graphs/srg/srgtab.html>.
- [2] R. Griess, *Twelve sporadic groups*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 1998.
- [3] H. Cohn, N. Elkies, A. Kumar, and A. Schürmann, Point configurations that are asymmetric yet balanced, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **138** (2010), 2863–2872.
- [4] A. J. Hoffman, R. R. Singleton, On Moore graphs with diameters 2 and 3, *IBM J. Res. Dev.*, **4** (1960), 497–504.
- [5] P. Cameron, *Permutation Groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [6] M. Mačaj, J. Širáň, Search for properties of the missing Moore graph, *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, **432** (2010), 2381–2398.
- [7] A. A. Makhnev, V. V. Nosov, On automorphisms of strongly regular graphs with $\lambda = 0$ and $\mu = 3$, *St. Petersburg Math. J.*, **21** (2010), 779–790.
- [8] A.E. Brouwer, W.H. Haemers, Structure and uniqueness of the (81,20,1,6) strongly regular graph, *Discrete Math.*, **106/107** (1992), 77–82.
- [9] A. Bremner, R. Calderbank, P. Hanlon, P. Morton, and J. Wolfskill, Two-weight ternary codes and the equation $y^2 = 4.3^a + 13$, *J. Number Theory*, **16** (1983), 212–234.
- [10] R. Calderbank, W. M. Kantor, *The geometry of two-weight codes*, *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, **18** (1986), 97–122.
- [11] P. Cameron, Strongly regular graphs, in *Selected Topics in Algebraic Graph Theory* (eds. L.W. Beineke and R.J. Wilson), Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004.
- [12] R. Hill, Caps and codes, *Discrete Math.*, **22** (1978), 111–137.
- [13] A.E. Brouwer, J.H. van Lint, *Strongly regular graphs and partial geometries*, *Enumeration and Design - Proc. Silver Jubilee Conf. on Combinatorics*, Waterloo, 1982, pp. 85–122.

ANDRIY V. BONDARENKO
 CENTRE DE RECERCA MATEMÀTICA
 CAMPUS DE BELLATERRA, 08193 BELLATERRA (BARCELONA), SPAIN
 AND
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS
 NATIONAL TARAS SHEVCHENKO UNIVERSITY
 STR. VOLODYMYRSKA, 64
 KYIV, 01033, UKRAINE
E-mail address: andriybond@gmail.com

DANYLO V. RADCHENKO
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS
 NATIONAL TARAS SHEVCHENKO UNIVERSITY
 STR. VOLODYMYRSKA, 64
 KYIV, 01033, UKRAINE
E-mail address: danradchenko@gmail.com